(b) 18 per cent out of the above distributorships have gone to persons belonging to SCST category. Since there is no separate reservation in the allotment of distributorships dealerships of petroleum products for Muslim$_s$ and Backward Classes, no statistics on them are maintained;

(c) About 66 complaints relating to delay in release of new connections^ nondelivery of cylinders at home, forcing to purchase hotplates, overcharging of refill, etc. were received by the oil industry against the distributorship mentioned in (a) above. All the complaints were investigated and appropriate action wherever necessary has been taken as per Oil Industry's Marketing Discipline Guidelines.

दिल्ली विद्युत प्रदाय संस्थान द्वारा विद्युत कनेक्शन प्रदान करने में विलम्ब

3744. **श्री सुनील कुमार पट्टनायक:** क्या ऊर्जा मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या यह सच है कि दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में विद्युत कनेक्शन के लिये आवेदकों द्वारा प्रतिभूति राशि जमा करा दिये जाने के 15 दिन अथवा एक महीने के पश्चात भी दिल्ली विद्युत प्रदाय संस्थान आवेदकों को विद्युत कनेक्शन प्रदान नहीं कर रहा है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं ;

(ग) क्या सरकार इस प्रयोजन हेतु कोई समय सीमा निर्धारित करने का विचार रखती है ; और

(घ) यदि हां, तो इसका ब्यौरा क्या है और यदि नहीं, तो उसके क्या कारण हैं ?

ऊर्जा मंत्रालय में विद्युत विभाग में राज्य मंत्री (श्री कल्पनाथ राय): (क) और (ख) दिल्ली विद्युत प्रदाय संस्थान (डेसू) के अनुसार नये घरेलू विद्युत कनेक्शनों के ऊर्जन के लिये उनके द्वारा 15 दिन की समयावधि निर्धारित की गई है जिसका सामान्यतया पालन किया जाता है। तथापि कुछ मामलों में विभिन्न कारणों से विलम्ब हो सकता है जिनमें ये शामिल हैं–कोलोनाइजर द्वारा संबंधित क्षेत्र को समुचित रूप से विद्युतीकृत न किया जाना, नये उपभोक्ताओं द्वारा विकास प्रभारों का भुगतान न किया जाना संबंधित निवास के संबंध में बकाया राशि सहित उस निवास में विद्युत कनेक्शन कटा होना मकान मालिक एवं किरायेदार के बीच विवाद होना और उपभोक्ताओं द्वारा वाणिज्यिक औपचारिकताओं को पूरा न किया जाना।

नए घरेलू कनेक्शनों को निर्धारित समय-सीमा में ऊर्जित करने के लिये डेसू द्वारा पूरे प्रयास किये जाते हैं।

(ग) और (घ) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

Production of Oxytetracycline

3745. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstar-red Question 1416 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th May, 1988 and state:

(a) what are the various Oxytetracycline which were t'he subject matter of litigation in the Supreme Court and what was the production of each from the date of litigation to the date of promulgation of DPCO, 1987 year-wise;

(b) what were the prices approved by Government of each formulations and what were being charged by the company alongwith the production of e:ich formulation year-wise;

(c) at what rate was the recovery made in respect of each bulk drug and each formulation; and

(d) whether it is obligatory under the Drug Price Control Orders1979 and 1987 to provide productionand sales turnover °f each formulation?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENC.AL RAO): (a) and (b) The details of production the prices fixed and the prices charged by the company in respect of Oxytetracyclin$_e$ formulations which were the subject matter of courts are quite voluminous. The time & efforts required for collection of these details will not be commensurate with the results likely to be achieved.

(c) The amount recoverable from the company has been assessed with re-ference to net realisation of the company and the notified price minus percentage] commission paid by the company.

(A) The companies are quired to pro-, vide production & sales turnover of formulations in form 6 of DPCO, 1979 DPCO, 1987.

Production of Drugs by IDPL

3746. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what amount was paid by the IDPL for getting technology knowhow for semi-synthetic Penicillins, Doxycycline and Nia. cinamide, product-wise and when the amount was paid;

(b) what have been the production figures of these drugs during the' last three years, year-wise and the value of such production:

(c) whether the technology for all these drugs at IDPL, is successful; and

(d) what are the details of the profits of IDPL during the first quarter of 1988-89?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (d) The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Price Fixation of Formulations

3747. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased' to state:

(a) whether the principles for fixation, of prices of formulations are settled by the BICP or his Ministry;

(b) whether it is a 'fact that the re-commendations of the Drugs Prices Review Committee have not'ning to do with BICP;

(c) if so, what is the status of these recommendations; and

(d) what are the main principles which, are followed in fixing the prices of formulations?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI I. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (d) The prices of scheduled formulations are determine^ by this Ministry, as per provisions of DPCO, 1987, keeping in view the recommendations of Drugs Prices Review Committee a interntinis-teriai body headed by the Chairman of B. I. C. P.

Import of scheduled drugs

3748. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleas ed to state:

(a) what are the names of the drugs listed in the schedules under DPCO, 1987 which are not production in the country;

(b) what is their annual import and CIF value of such imports;

(c) what are the basis for keeping them in the schedules in terms oft value of import, sales turnover, mo nopoly, leading brands etc., and

(d) whether there is any proposal to enlarge the list of such drugs?

THE MINISTER. OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a> To the extent information is available Bulk